London Ambulance Service

Equality Impact Assessments Form: a record of the assessment

| Function/ policy being assessed: SharePoint |
|--|
| Directory/ service or corporate function? Access Connecting for Health Programme |
| Date of assessment: January 2008 |
| Contact person for the assessment: |
| Members of the assessment group: |

1 Aims of the function / policy

To improve authorised shared access by stakeholders to LAS information systems and data storage media to improve collaboration and decision making.

2 Current achievements and fact finding

Sources of information used, with references, location or links.

Anything you have learnt from previous consultation results with references or links. In particular any evidence you may have that impacts upon: race, disability, gender, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation and human rights

LAS Strategic Plan, 2006/7 to 2012/13

http://thepulse/managing/11600523394772.html

LAS corporate policy, guidance and best practise with regard to diversity of the population served, workforce planning and discrimination in the workplace reflecting and governed by pertinent legisla

Taking Healthcare to the Patent

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH 14269

Race Equality Scheme:

http://www.londonambulance.nhs.uk/publications/race/race.html

Gender Equality Scheme:

http://www.londonambulance.nhs.uk/publications/gender/gender.html

Disability Equality Scheme:

http://www.londonambulance.nhs.uk/publications/disability/disability.html

LAS Annual Report:

http://www.londonambulance.nhs.uk/publications/areport/report.html

Londoners' Perceptions of the London Ambulance Service, June - July 2006.

Ipsos MORI conducted a telephone survey among a representative sample of 1,010 Londoners ago 16 plus, commissioned by the London Ambulance Service between 19 June and 2 July 2006 http://www.londonambulance.nhs.uk/news/archive/pressreleases/pressreleases 2006/nov20 06.ht

Assessment and actions needed

Initial ideas for actions can go here. You will refine them further at stage 6. Please note the impact

assessment will not be accepted unless group(s) affected is listed with a link to the action required. Primareas to consider are: race, disability, gender, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation and humarights

| Barrier | Group affected | Action needed | Responsibility | Timescale | Resourc |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---|--|
| Built environment | System users and visitors to workstation with regard to disability. | Workstation design must be considered with regard to the needs of disabled users or disabled visitors to the workstation. | Project manager | Implementation timescale of project; 2008- 2009. | To be determine by the project manage |
| Location | | It is anticipated that this barrier is not relevant. | | | |
| Information and communication | System users, their supervisors and other stakeholders with regard to disability. | Project plans at an appropriate level and user's guides should be distributed to the affected group in the various formats suitable for individuals concerned. | Project manager | Implementation timescale of project; 2008-2009. | To be determin by the project manager |
| Customer care and staff training | System users and their supervisors with regard to disability. | Training should be delivered to the affected group in the various formats suitable for individuals concerned. | Project manager | Implementation timescale of project; 2008- 2009 | To be determin by the project manager |
| Timing | System users and their supervisors with regard to disability | Consultation, familiarisation and training events must be arranged to align with the working hours of the affected group. | Project manager | Implementation timescale of project; 2008- 2009. | To be determine by the project manager |
| Stereotypes and assumptions | | It is anticipated that this barrier is not relevant. | | | |
| Costs of the | | It is anticipated | | | |

that this barrier

service

| טועעוו | | V C131011 0_1 | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | is not relevant. | | | | |
| Commenting, consultation | All stakeholders | Stakeholder consultation must be factored into the project governance. | Project Executive | Implementation timescale of project; 2008- 2009. | To be determin by the project manager | |
| Specific barrier: - Physical disability | System users. System administrators or maintainers with regard to disability. | Consider the needs of blind users and users with limit dexterity | Project Board Senior User | Implementation timescale of project; 2008- 2009. | To be determin by the project manager | |
| Human Rights | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |

5 Future consultation

Plans and aims for further consultation:

Periodic PPI consultation exercises.

Who with, when, method of consultation:

PPI Manager, through public events and FoIA Publication Scheme.

6 Action plans, targets and priorities

Explain how the action plan will tie into service improvement plans, directorate action plans and local delivery plans:

Action plans will be tracked by means of individual project boards.

7 Monitoring and feedback

Details of how you will review action plans and progress. All impact assessment action plans must be reported back internally and to the equality and diversity facilitators 6 monthly as a minimum:

Project managers' periodic highlight report (4-6 weeks)
Project completion report due in 2008 / 2009.

8 Tell people what you are doing

Information on how you will publicise decisions, actions and service improvements. How will you make this available to the public?

External stakeholders: PPI consultation, Trust annual report, LAS Internet website. Internal stakeholders: Pulse bulletins, joint liaison mechanism.