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1. Introduction

As an organisation the London Ambulance Service NHS Trust (LAS) acknowledges that the effective management of document creation within the Trust is an essential part of good governance practice.

Effective control, management and monitoring of procedural documents (as defined in the scope (section 2) contributes to the assurance of:

- safe operations
- risk reduction
- staff awareness of current practice
- delivery of high quality patient care
- effective quality control
- transparency for external stakeholders

2. Scope

This policy and procedure applies to all LAS policies, procedures, protocols, strategies, and plans produced or reviewed by the Trust. It details the approach that the LAS will take in the development, management, implementation, and monitoring of these procedural documents. It also applies to guidance documents except where these are of purely a local nature. Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are produced locally by departments and are therefore subject to local governance procedures.

3. Objectives

1. To describe in detail a single approach for the effective development, implementation, monitoring and review of procedural documents.

2. To produce procedural documents following an agreed corporate style and format.

3. To bring all procedural documents together and manage them in such a way that they will be available as part of one electronic system accessed through the Trust’s intranet.

4. To define roles and responsibilities for staff, committees and groups of the Trust.

5. To define an approval, and, where appropriate, ratification route for all policies and procedures, strategies and plans, and embed full ownership and management accountability for document implementation, staff awareness, and compliance that is monitored for effectiveness.

6. To develop and consult on relevant policies and procedures in partnership with Staff Side colleagues.
4. Responsibilities

4.1 The LAS Policy Monitoring and Approval Group (PMAG) has overall responsibility for monitoring compliance with this Policy and Procedure and the effectiveness of the system for managing procedural documents. PMAG also has responsibility for approval of all policies and procedures and monitoring progress with reviews.

4.2 The Executive Leadership Team (ELT) have responsibility for ratifying new policies and procedures and approving strategies and plans as appropriate.

4.3 The Trust Board has responsibility for the ratification of Corporate Strategies.

4.3 LAS Committees and Groups have responsibility for agreement and monitoring of policies and procedures.

4.4 Directors/Senior managers have responsibility for approving the development of new procedural documents apart from guidance of a purely local nature. They are also responsible for defining the approval process for all other procedural documents. Directors are sponsors of documents and responsible for appointing the author(s) of each procedural document.

4.5 The Information Governance Manager is responsible for operational management and development of the system.

4.6 The Governance and Assurance Team are responsible for the day-to-day management of procedural documents and co-ordination of the system.

4.7 Document authors (owners) are responsible for:

- ensuring that they follow this policy and procedure when developing new procedural documents;
- consulting widely with all stakeholders when developing or reviewing a document;
- ensuring that all requirements laid down by legislation and standards are considered and incorporated in their documents;
- raising awareness with appropriate staff regarding their policy or procedure;
- reviewing them in a timely manner when requested by the Governance and Assurance department and within the timeframe specified in the procedural document itself;
- managing the review process and securing the approval of the new/ reviewed procedural document.

4.8 Managers throughout the Trust are responsible for ensuring that staff are aware of, and comply with, all relevant procedural documents.
4.9 **All members of staff** have a responsibility to read and be aware of the content of appropriate new and revised documentation at the earliest opportunity following issue or publication in the Routine Information Bulletin (RIB).

5. **Definitions**

5.1 **Procedural Document**

The term 'procedural document' is used throughout this document as an umbrella term for all document types within scope and as detailed below.

5.2 **Policy**

A policy is a high level statement of principles for action or intent that guides the decision-making and activities of the organisation and describes how an aspect of service provision or governance will be achieved.

5.3 **Procedure**

A written, approved specification for execution of an activity - often composed of steps, using established methods or forms - designed to achieve a uniform approach to compliance with applicable policies.

5.4 **Protocol**

In the context of the LAS a protocol is a convention or a predefined written procedural method which guides how an activity, normally clinical, should be performed.

5.5 **Guidance**

Practically advises how a task may be completed or best practice within which to work. The exercise to which the guidance applies may not be Trust wide and may vary between divisions/ departments / stations. Guidance may also be produced to supplement a procedure or form.

5.6 **Strategy**

A long term plan of action, designed to achieve a particular goal in relation to the Trust’s strategic aims.

5.7 **Plans**

A detailed scheme setting out a number of steps or decisions that may be followed in the future in order to achieve a certain aim or objective.

5.8 A document may be one or a combination of the above. The Information Governance Manager will advise if required.
5.9 **Consultation** - the process where internal/external Stakeholders are asked for their comments and agreement of the document. It will include groups such as staff, staff side, HR, finance, service users, *Note*: to consult does not infer negotiation.

5.10 **Approval** - to sanction and officially confirm that a document is appropriate to the Trust and projects the Strategic Objectives. This is carried out through the committees and groups, as identified in Sections 6.9 and 6.10, which provide assurance to the Trust Board.

5.11 **Ratification** – to confirm formal approval of the decision made by a lower committee.

6. Development of documents

6.1 Any member of staff may identify a need to develop a new document or amend an existing document that affects the way services, functions and activities are performed and delivered.

6.2 All documents to be developed / reviewed must have the prior approval of the relevant **Director/ Senior Manager**. If appropriate, the relevant Human Resources Policy Lead should be involved. The **Director/ Senior Manager** will identify whether to proceed to draft with the application.

6.3 The relevant **Director/ Senior Manager** will identify an **author (Owner)** to develop the new document. The task may be delegated to a group of individuals or an appropriate manager. Staff Side representation will be included if appropriate.

6.4 Once approval for the creation of the document is obtained, the **Director/ Senior Manager** will send an email notification to Information Governance stating that approval has been given, the working title of the document and name of author. A document reference number should be requested and will be allocated by the Information Governance Manager.

6.5 The author of a document is required to consult widely with all stakeholders whenever a new document is being developed, or an existing document is due for review. Where appropriate the author should forward a copy of the draft to Staff Side and approach external bodies as part of the consultation process. The author will keep a record of this consultation process. The final draft in Word format with track changes should be sent to the Information Governance Manager for review prior to it proceeding to approval.

6.6 All draft policies and procedures should follow the trust document template (see Appendix 2). *Note*: the Implementation Plan must be completed. Strategies, plans and guidance documents should use the Document Profile and Control section of the template and other sections as appropriate.
6.7 An **Equality Analysis** (LA035) must be completed by the owner for each policy and procedure and also for strategies, plans and guidance material where changes to Service provision are envisaged. Once completed the owner must ensure that the Equality Analysis is signed off by the appropriate Director before it is sent to the Information Governance Manager who will arrange publication on the LAS website. The owner must record the date of completion of the Equality Analysis on the Document Profile and Control sheet at the front of the document. No policies/procedures should normally be accepted onto the agenda of PMAG for approval without an Equality Analysis in place.

6.8 If a procedural document is developed as a result of a new project the author should check with the project manager to see whether an Initial Privacy Impact Assessment has been carried out. If not, it is the responsibility of the project manager to carry this out before any related procedural document is approved.

6.9 All policies and procedures developed or reviewed within the Operations Directorate will initially be tabled at the weekly ADOs meeting for discussion and agreement. Following agreement the document will be presented by the lead Assistant Director/Head of Department (or equivalent) to the next Policy Monitoring and Approval Group (PMAG) meeting for approval. Any changes/amendments agreed will then be made by the author and re-submitted if required. If it is a new policy/procedure or one that has been substantially changed the document, once approved, will be sent to the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) for ratification.

6.10 Other policies and procedures may be submitted to an appropriate Trust Committee or Group (e.g. Information Governance Group for an Information Security policy) for agreement. Policies and procedures will then be submitted by the appropriate PMAG member to the next PMAG meeting for approval. Any changes/amendments agreed will then be made by the author and re-submitted if required. If it is a new policy/procedure or one that has been substantially changed the document, once approved, will be sent to ELT for ratification.

6.11 Trust-wide Strategies and Plans should be agreed by a sub-committee if relevant and then approved by ELT as well as the Trust Board if appropriate. Guidance documents that are not purely of a local nature should be sent to the Information Governance Manager for review prior to them proceeding to a sub-committee (if relevant) and ELT or the ADO meeting if Operational. Local guidance should be agreed by a relevant sub-committee.

6.12 Should a procedural document require urgent approval, a committee senior to the one normally designated may take on this role.

6.13 Following approval/ ratification, the author will finalise the Document Profile and Control sheet except for the ‘Published’ and ‘Announced’ sections and forward the approved document to Information Governance for conversion into a PDF read
6.14 An approved master copy of the document will then be stored electronically by Information Governance. The document will be added to the LAS Intranet site (The Pulse) for staff to access. All policies will also be made publicly available through the Trust’s Publication scheme on the LAS website and procedures will also be added to the Publication Scheme if considered suitable for release under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

The flowcharts for the stages of policy and procedure development and review are at Appendix 1 and the Approvals Process for Trust Documentation is at Appendix 3.

7. Issue, implementation and monitoring of new and revised documents

7.1 Issue

The issue of new approved documents and revised documents with more than minor changes will be announced in the Routine Information Bulletin (RIB) by Information Governance who will place a full electronic version and a summary of the document on ‘the pulse’ and where appropriate a copy on the LAS website. The date of the announcement will be recorded in the Document Profile and Control section. All procedural documents will normally be converted to PDF format prior to placement on the Trust’s intranet and internet sites. Hyperlinks to related listed documents will be added when appropriate.

7.2 Implementation Plan and Monitoring

The Implementation Plan is an integral part of each policy and procedure and will be provided by the author. This records the intended audience, details of dissemination and distribution, communications and awareness, training to be provided if required, and monitoring of compliance. (see template at Appendix 2 for further details).

Methods of monitoring compliance will include the identification of relevant standards and key performance indicators and will be undertaken by appropriate committees/groups. Policies and Procedures may also be subject to internal or external audit, to ensure full compliance is taking place as required.

8. Review system

8.1 It will be the responsibility of the author/ owner to ensure that policies and procedures and other documents that have been allocated a review period are reviewed in a timely manner. To facilitate this Information Governance will, if possible, notify the owner and sponsor three months prior to the review date. If by the review date no progress has been made the status of the document will be notified to PMAG. Regular reports will be provided to PMAG on the status of overdue policies and procedures.
8.2 Review of a document will require a further Equality Analysis to be carried out if major changes are made.

8.3 The standard review period for a policy or procedure will be three years and this is the maximum period allowable before a review must take place. However, the author may decide on a shorter review period as it is the responsibility of the author of a document to ensure that it is kept up to date, relevant and evidence based in light of best practice. The review period should also be set to reflect external requirements or anticipated changes where these are less than three years. Documents may be reviewed, following the consultation process in section 6, at any time if required. Any policy and procedure review, which in the opinion of Information Governance results in only minor updates of an administrative or organisational nature, will not require further approval by the appropriate Trust committee.

9. Style and Format

9.1 Procedural documents will conform to the approved Trust style, as detailed on the Pulse.

9.2 The following format specification will be applied to create an approved corporate style document (see example template of a policy or procedure in Appendix 2).

9.3 **Document title box** to follow the format as in Appendix 2.

9.4 All text entered in the ‘Document Title’ box and throughout the document to be in font style *Arial* font size 12 for both upper and lower case entries and **bold** type except for the Document Profile and Control section which will be in Arial 11. All text in the title box, headings and sub-headings should be in **bold** font.

9.5 The **Document profile and control** section must be completed by the author and Information Governance as appropriate in order that version control is maintained. Authors must add references to relevant source information, policies and procedures, research data, training and guidance documents, health standards, legislation and accreditation systems that have a bearing on, or relevance to, the document.

9.6 Where abbreviations are used in any part of the document these will be written out in full where they first occur, immediately followed by the abbreviation typed inside brackets, e.g., London Ambulance Service NHS Trust (LAS).

10. Document and version control

10.1 **Document Control and Archiving**
The Document Profile and Control section at the start of each document provides important metadata – information on the ownership, document status and development history, version, approval, publication, and details of references and related documents.

The master documents will be electronic and maintained by Information Governance on a network drive. Copies will be placed on the Trust’s intranet, the Pulse. All current policies will also be placed in the Trust’s Freedom of Information (FoI) Publication Scheme on the external Website. Procedures will also be included in the Publication Scheme where suitable for release under the FoI Act 2000. All policies and procedures may be downloaded and/or printed from these sites but as these are controlled documents staff will no longer be issued with personal copies and they are only current at the time of download/print and are not to be regarded as definitive documents. Strategies and Plans will be placed on the Trust’s Freedom of Information Publication Scheme as appropriate.

Information Governance will maintain archived electronic copies of all superseded and obsolete policies and procedures for 20 years and previous versions are available upon application to the Information Governance Manager. Strategies and Plans will also be kept for 20 years. Retention of guidance material will depend upon the type of guidance concerned.

10.2 Version Control

Version control will be used to manage all procedural documents and will follow major or minor versions (i.e. v.1 or v1.1) format.

The Information Governance Manager will allocate an alphanumeric index number to each document according to the type of document:

- Trust Policies and procedures will commence with TP
- Operational Procedures will commence OP
- Service forms will be allocated a new LA number
- Human Resource will commence HR
- Health and Safety will commence with HS
- Plans will commence with PL
- Strategies will commence with ST
- Guidance will commence with GU

A document control footer will be completed by the author which should contain the document reference number, the title of the document and the page number and total number of pages.
### IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intended Audience</th>
<th>All LAS Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
<td>Available to all staff on the Pulse and to the public on the LAS website. This will be co-coordinated by Information Governance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>Revised Policy and Procedure to be announced in the RIB and a link provided to the document by Information Governance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Specific training will be provided as required for staff who are required to develop, write and review procedural documents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monitoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect to be monitored</th>
<th>Frequency of monitoring AND Tool used</th>
<th>Individual/ team responsible for carrying out monitoring AND Committee/ group where results are reported</th>
<th>Committee/ group responsible for monitoring outcomes/ recommendations</th>
<th>How learning will take place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The effectiveness of the process</td>
<td>Will be monitored quarterly</td>
<td>Information Governance Manager PMAG</td>
<td>Risk Compliance and Assurance Group</td>
<td>Any changes will be documented in a new version of the Policy and Procedure for approval by PMAG. It will then be announced in the RIB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DOCUMENT PROFILE and CONTROL.

**Purpose of the document**: 
***********************************************************************

**Sponsor Department**: ****************************

**Author/Reviewer**: ****************************. To be reviewed by month/year.

**Document Status**: Draft/ Final

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>*Version</th>
<th>Author/Contributor</th>
<th>Amendment Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XX/XX/XX</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>******************</td>
<td>Minor - ************</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Version Control Note**: All documents in development are indicated by minor versions i.e. 0.1; 0.2 etc. The first version of a document to be approved for release is given major version 1.0. Upon review the first version of a revised document is given the designation 1.1, the second 1.2 etc. until the revised version is approved, whereupon it becomes version 2.0. The system continues in numerical order each time a document is reviewed and approved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Approval By:</th>
<th>Date Approved</th>
<th>Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>******************</td>
<td>XX/XX/XX</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ratified by (If appropriate): | |
|------------------------------| XX/XX/XX |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Published on:</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>Dept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Pulse</td>
<td>XX/XX/XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS Website</td>
<td>XX/XX/XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced on:</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>Dept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The RIB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equality Analysis completed on</th>
<th>By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staffside reviewed on</td>
<td>By</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Links to Related documents or references providing additional information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Document Status: This is a controlled record as are the document(s) to which it relates. Whilst all or any part of it may be printed, the electronic version maintained in P&P-File remains the controlled master copy. Any printed copies are not controlled nor substantive.
1. Introduction

This provides the background narrative explaining the purpose and reason for the document.

2. Scope

It should be clearly stated what areas the procedural document covers and what is excluded from the coverage of the document.

3. Objectives

To set out concisely (by number if necessary) what the document aims to achieve and provide.

1.  
2.  

4. Responsibilities

The responsibilities and duties of postholders and committees must be clearly defined.

Post Holder 1 -  
Post holder 2 -

5. Definitions (optional)

List and describe the meaning of terms used in the context of the document where required for clarity.

This is where the author writes the details of the specific document. There will be instances where for clarity of governance or administrative convenience a policy and procedure are combined into one document.
6. First Heading

6.1  **************************************************

6.2  **************************************************

7. Second Heading

7.1  **************************************************

7.1.2  **************************************************

7.1.3  **************************************************

7.1.4  **************************************************

7.2.  **************************************************

    **************************************************

    **************************************************
Implementation Plan

To be completed by the author

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION PLAN TEMPLATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intended Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Monitoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect to be monitored</th>
<th>Frequency of monitoring AND Tool used</th>
<th>Individual/ team responsible for carrying out monitoring AND Committee/ group where results are reported</th>
<th>Committee/ group responsible for monitoring outcomes/ recommendations</th>
<th>How learning will take place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List aspects/ Key elements of the policy/ procedure that will be monitored, e.g., training records, employment checks, etc</td>
<td>How often will this take place and What tool will be used, e.g., annual audit of x, quarterly report/statistical analysis of x, annual inspection of x, etc</td>
<td>Who is responsible for carrying this out? Title of individual/team that will do this, and Name of Group/committee where the results will be reported, e.g., Head of Legal Service will report results to the Learning from Experience Group, Clinical Audit and Research Unit will report results to the Quality Committee</td>
<td>Who monitors outcomes/ recommendations? Name of Higher level group/committee that will monitor outcomes/ recommendations, e.g., Trust Board, Audit Committee, RCAG, Quality Committee, Clinical Safety and Effectiveness Committee</td>
<td>Describe how learning will take place for relevant areas, e.g., dissemination of findings and action to be taken where change to practice is required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices. Any standard forms, local protocols and checklists that come within the scope of the document should be numbered and referenced at the appropriate place in the text, e.g. ‘see Appendix 1’. Each appendix should be attached at the back of the document and numbered in bold upper case letters and figures at the top right hand corner of the page. Alternatively where documents are referred to in the text they may be accessed through electronic hyperlinks.

Appendices must be made reference to within the main body of the document. They should provide additional evidence or explanation of a subject.